

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JESSYKA GAMA, on behalf of X.L., No. 2:17-CV-1969-CMK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL
SECURITY,

Defendant.

_____ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to the court’s scheduling order, plaintiff is required to prosecute this action by either seeking voluntary remand or filing a dispositive motion within 45 days from the date of service of the administrative record by defendant. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. As of March 28, 2018, plaintiff had not filed a dispositive motion and the court directed plaintiff to show cause in writing within 30 days why the action should not be dismissed. A review of the docket reflects that plaintiff has now filed a dispositive motion

1 (see Doc. 14). The March 28, 2018, order to show cause is, therefore, discharged.

2 A review of the docket also reflects that defendant has notified the court regarding
3 consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff, however, has not notified the court
4 regarding consent. Pursuant to the court's scheduling order and Eastern District of California
5 Local Rules, Appendix A, the time to do so has now expired.

6 Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why
7 this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate
8 Judge jurisdiction, as required by the court's scheduling order. **Submission of a completed**
9 **consent election form shall constitute a sufficient response.** The Clerk of the Court is directed
10 to serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for
11 Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the
12 dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and
13 comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15
16 DATED: May 10, 2018

17 
18 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26