1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ROBERT LEE TAYLOR,	No. 2:17-cv-2014 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER AND	
14	ERIC ARNOLD,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	By order filed November 8, 2017, plaintiff was granted thirty days leave to file a		
18	complaint. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not complied with the court's		
19	order.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district		
21	court judge to this case; and		
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See		
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
27	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings		
28	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified		

1	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153		
2	(9th Cir. 1991).		
3	Dated: December 19, 2017	Carop U. Delany	
4		AROLYN K. DELANEY	
5	U	NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6			
7			
8	1 tayl2014.noc		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			