

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS GILBERT LAW,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
LORI W. AUSTIN, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-2060 JAM AC P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed August 26, 2020, plaintiff was declared a three-strikes litigant within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and directed to pay the filing fee as a condition to proceeding with this action. ECF No. 42. Plaintiff was provided sixty (60) days within which to pay the filing fee and he was informed that “failure to timely pay the above-noted fees will result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice.” *Id.* at 2. The deadline has passed without plaintiff paying the filing fee or otherwise communicating with this court.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one (21) days after the filing date of these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

1 objections with the court. Such document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s  
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: November 3, 2020

6   
7 ALLISON CLAIRE  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28