| 1 | | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | DONNY KAY SNEED, | No. 2:17-cv-2071 MCE CKD P |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | |
| 12 | V. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 13 | SCOTT KERNAN, et al., | |
| 14 | Defendants. | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. | |
| 17 | § 1983. Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require | |
| 18 | counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. | |
| 19 | Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney | |
| 20 | to voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d | |
| 21 | 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). | |
| 22 | When determining whether "exceptional circ | umstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's |
| 23 | likelihood of success on the merits as well as | the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro |
| 24 | se in light of the complexity of the legal issue | es involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 |
| 25 | (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The | |
| 26 | burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances | |
| 27 | common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not | |
| 28 | establish exceptional circumstances that warr | ant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. |

| 1 | Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of | |
| 3 | counsel at this time. | |
| 4 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of | |
| 5 | counsel (ECF No. 30) is denied without prejudice. | |
| 6 | Dated: May 29, 2018 Carop U. Delany | |
| 7 | CAROLYN K. DELANEY | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | 12/snee2071.31(2).docx | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |