Barrack v. Ford I	otor Company		Doc. 5
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	JACOB BERNARD RUSSELL SORENSON and	Case No. 2:17-CV-01987-JAM-AC	
13	BERNARD SORENSON,	RELATED CASE ORDER	
14	Plaintiffs,	Related to Nos.: 2:17-CV-02078 JAM-AC	
15	V.))	
16	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
17	Defendants.))	
18	JOHN ZIMMERSCHIED and EVELYN ZIMMERSCHIED,		
19	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 1:17-CV-01317-LJO-MJS	3
20	v.		
21	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10,		
22	inclusive,		
23	Defendants. PAUL WILLIAMS and MARCELLA))	
24	WILLIAMS,)) Case No. 2:17-CV-02006 JAM-CKI)
25	Plaintiffs,		
26	V.))	
27	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
28	Defendant.))	
	·	,	

1	JEAN MEJIA MALAGON,)	
2	Plaintiff,)	
3	V.) Case No. 2:17-CV-02051 TLN-AC)	
4	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,)	
5	Defendants.)	
6	FELIX VILLALOVOS,)	
7	Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:17-CV-02053 MCE-DB	
8	v.)	
9	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,))	
10	Defendants.)	
11	ANGELA M. LOVEST,)	
12	Plaintiff,)	
13	v.) Case No. 2:17-CV-02079 MCE-GGH	
14	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,))	
15	Defendants.)	
16	NELSON CAMARGO,		
17		Case No. 2:17-CV-02092 MCE-EFB	
18	Plaintiff,)	
19	V.)	
20	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
21	Defendants.)	
22			
23	Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these		
24	actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.		
25	2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge		

actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 1:17-CV-01317 LJO-MJS, 2:17-CV-02006 JAM-CKD, 2:17-CV-02051 TLN-AC, 2:17-02053 MCE-DB, 2:17-02079 MCE-GGH, and 2:17-02092 MCE-EFB, be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and Magistrate Judge Allison Claire for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 1:17-CV-01317 JAM-AC, 2:17-CV-02006 JAM-AC, 2:17-CV-02051 JAM-AC, 2:17-02053 JAM-AC, 2:17-02079 JAM-AC, and 2:17-02092 JAM-AC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 20, 2017