1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	EVERTON WHITELY,	No. 2:17-cv-2107 KJM AC	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	J. LEBECK, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief		
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided		
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On July 30, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were		
21	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and		
22	recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 22. Plaintiff has not filed		
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
28	/////		
		1	

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 30, 2020, are adopted in full;	
5	2. Plaintiff's first amended complaint (ECF No. 20) is dismissed without leave to amend	
6	for failure to state a claim.	
7	3. The Clerk of Court close this case.	
8	DATED: October 15, 2020.	
9 10	nAmile	
10	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	