2	
3	
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
WILLIAM MARTIN HENDERSON,	No. 2:17-cv-2121 DB P
Plaintiff,	
V.	<u>ORDER</u>
UNKNOWN, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil	
rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to this court	
19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On March 6, 2020, the court directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty	
days. (ECF No. 26). More than thirty days have passed, and plaintiff has neither complied with	
the court's order, nor communicated with the court in any way. As a result, within thirty days of	
23 the date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed for	
failure to prosecute and for failure to obey a court order. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); <u>see also</u> L.R.	
25 110.	
////	
27 ////	
////	
	WILLIAM MARTIN HENDERSON, Plaintiff, V. UNKNOWN, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding prights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Loc On March 6, 2020, the court directed prights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Loc On March 6, 2020, the court directed prights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 110. William of the court of the court directed prights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 110. William of the court directed prights action of the court of the co

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall inform the court as to why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for failure to obey a court order. Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order within the time specified may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Dated: April 13, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB/ORDERS/ORDERS.PRISONER.CIVIL RIGHTS/hend2121.osc.fac