

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAPHAEL MAURICE JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITATION, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:17-cv-01385-EPG (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and an “order to show cause for an [sic] preliminary injunction & a temporary restraining order.”

In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations appear to have taken place in both Solano County (which is in the Eastern District of California) and San Diego County. Based on the complaint, it appears that Plaintiff intended to file his complaint in the Eastern District of California, which he did. However, because Solano County is part of the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper

1 court may, on the Court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action
2 will be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This Court will not rule on Plaintiff's application
3 to proceed in forma pauperis or his "order to show cause for an [sic] preliminary injunction & a
4 temporary restraining order."

5 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

6 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
7 California sitting in Sacramento;

8 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
9 filed at:

10 United States District Court
11 Eastern District of California
12 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
13 Sacramento, CA 95814

14 3. This Court has not ruled on Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis or his
15 "order to show cause for an [sic] preliminary injunction & a temporary restraining order."

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: October 17, 2017

18 /s/ Eric P. Gray
19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28