	ES DISTRICT COURT  DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  No. 2:17-cv-02168-TLN-KJN (PS)
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE EASTERN RONALD OLAJIDE,	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
RONALD OLAJIDE,	
,	No. 2:17-cv-02168-TLN-KJN (PS)
,	No. 2:17-cv-02168-TLN-KJN (PS)
Plaintiff,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
BROWN, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff, who proceeds pro se, has red	quested permission to utilize the court's electronic
filing system. (ECF No. 2.) Local Rule 133	provides that "[a]ny person appearing pro se may
not utilize electronic filing except with the pe	ermission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge.
19 All pro se parties shall file and serve paper documents as required by applicable Federal Rules	
of Civil or Criminal Procedure or by these Ru	ales." E.D. Cal. L.R. 133(b)(2). Here, plaintiff's
request does not set forth a compelling reason	n why the court should deviate from the Local Rules
and its standard practice of disallowing the us	se of electronic filing by pro se litigants.
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that	ıt:
1. Plaintiff's motion to utilize the	e electronic filing system (ECF No. 2) is DENIED.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 24, 2017	
14/15 01 (0 1 11 1 1770 )	Level P. Newman
14/17-2168.olajide.EFS order	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
f r a a A	Plaintiff, who proceeds pro se, has recommon to utilize electronic filing except with the performance of Civil or Criminal Procedure or by these Russequest does not set forth a compelling reason and its standard practice of disallowing the use Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that I. Plaintiff's motion to utilize the IT IS SO ORDERED.