1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 AARON LAFOY READING, No. 2:17-cv-2171 AC P 12 Petitioner. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SCOTT FRAUENHEIM, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his 19 application, petitioner challenges a conviction issued by the Kings County Superior Court. Kings 20 County is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of 21 California. See Local Rule 120(d). 22 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper 23 division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the 24 court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. This court 25 will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis. 26 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 27 1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis; ///// 28 1

1	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
2	California sitting in Fresno; and
3	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be
4	filed at:
5 6	United States District Court Eastern District of California 2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721
7	SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: October 24, 2017
9	alles Clane
10	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	