UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEVIN JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

FOX, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-2190-JAM-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He has again requested that the court appoint counsel. As plaintiff was previously informed, *see* ECF No. 32, district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. *Mallard v. United States Dist. Court*, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); *Terrell v. Brewer*, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); *Wood v. Housewright*, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Palmer v. Valdez*, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court still finds there are no exceptional circumstances in this case.

Dockets.Justia.com

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 45) is denied.

DATED: July 10, 2018.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE