I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHANELL S. WATKINS,	No. 2:17-cv-2247-MCE-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	DITECH FINANCIAL LLC FKA Green Tree Servicing LLC; FEDERAL	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION; NBS DEFAULT	
16	SERVICES, LLC; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	Defendant Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") filed a motion for	
21	summary judgment, which was previously noticed for hearing on February 26, 2020. <sup>1</sup> ECF Nos.	
22	38 & 45. In violation of Local Rule 230(c), plaintiff failed to file an opposition or statement of	
23	non-opposition to Fannie Mae's motion. Accordingly, the hearing on the motion was continued,	
24	and plaintiff was ordered to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Fannie Mae's	
25	motion. ECF No. 47. Plaintiff was also ordered to show cause, by no later than March 25, 2020,	
26	why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to timely file a response to the pending motion,	
27	$\frac{1}{1}$ This case, in which plaintiff is proce	eding prose is before the undersigned pursuant to
28	<sup>1</sup> This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding pro se, is before the undersigned pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule $302(c)(21)$ . See 28 U.S.C. § $636(b)(1)$ .	
		1

1	and she was admonished that failure to do so could result in dismissal of this action for lack of		
2	prosecution and/or failure to comply with court orders. Id.		
3	The deadline has passed and plaintiff has not filed an opposition or statement of non-		
4	opposition to the pending motion, nor otherwise responded to the court's order to show cause. <sup>2</sup>		
5	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the April 8, 2020 hearing on Fannie Mae's		
6	motion for summary judgment is vacated.		
7	Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and		
8	to comply with court orders and the court's local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Cal. E.D. L.R.		
9	110.		
10	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
11	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
12	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections		
13	with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings		
14	and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right		
15	to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998);		
16	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).		
17	DATED: March 30, 2020.		
18	EDMUND F. BRENNAN		
19 20	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24 25			
23 26			
20 27	<sup>2</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of her current		
27	address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.		
<u> </u>			