

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FREE SPIRIT ORGANICS, NAC, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:17-cv-02271-KJM-EFB

S.G. FARMS et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:19-cv-01075-WBS-DB

RELATED CASE ORDER

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, “both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a

1 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
2 these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
3 likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
5 to be assigned to the same judge—it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
6 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
7 assigned.

8 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that Case No. 2:19-cv-01075-WBS-DB is
9 reassigned from The Honorable Judge William B. Shubb to the undersigned and from Magistrate
10 Judge Deborah Barnes to Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan. Henceforth, the caption on
11 documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: Case No. 2:19-cv-01075-KJM-EFB.

12 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
13 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: June 13, 2019.

16
17 
18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28