1	MCGREGOR W. SCOTT	
1	United States Attorney	
2	DEBORAH LEE STACHEL	
3	Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX	
3	Social Security Administration	
4	CAROLYN B. CHEN, CSBN 256628 Special Assistant United States Attorney	
5	160 Spear Street, Suite 800	
5	San Francisco, California 94105	
6	Telephone: (415) 977-8956	
7	Facsimile: (415) 744-0134	
	E-Mail: Carolyn.Chen@ssa.gov	
8	Attorneys for Defendant	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 11		RICT OF CALIFORNIA ENTO DIVISION
1		
11	SACRAM	
1		ENTO DIVISION
11	SACRAM	ENTO DIVISION) Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK))) STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN
11 12 13	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs.	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
11 12 13 14 15	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs. NANCY A. BERRYHILL,	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
11 12 13 14	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs.	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
11 12 13 14 15	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs. NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs. NANCY A. BERRYHILL,	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY
11 12 13 14 15 16	SACRAM ALVIN SARTIAGUDA, Plaintiff, vs. NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	 ENTO DIVISION Case No.: 2:17-cv-02280-CMK STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME OF 45 DAYS FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel of record, that Defendant shall have an extension of time of 45 additional days to respond to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. The current due date was July 19, 2018. The new due date will be September 4, 2018 (since September 2, 2018 falls on the weekend, followed by the federal holiday on September 3, 2018).

This is Defendant's second request for an extension of time in this case. There is good cause for this request. Since the Court's granting of the Defendant's request for an extension of time, Defendant's counsel has been addressing a full workload of district court matters and other matters that could not be assigned to another attorney. In addition, the parties have begun

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

discussion of a possible voluntary remand settlement, and Defendant is requesting additional
 time to review the case. Lastly, additional time is necessary given that Defendant's counsel will
 be on pre-approved leave for multiple weeks including in August.

Thus, Defendant is respectfully requesting additional time up to and including September 4, 2018, to respond to Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment in this case, should the case not go forward with a voluntary remand. Defendant apologizes for the tardy request. This request is made in good faith with no intention to unduly delay the proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING

Date: July 20, 2018

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date: July 20, 2018

-

By <u>s/ Carolyn B. Chen</u> CAROLYN B. CHEN Special Assistant U. S. Attorney

s/Lawrence D. Rohlfing by C.Chen*

LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING

Attorneys for Plaintiff

United States Attorney

MCGREGOR W. SCOTT

(As authorized by email on 7/20/2018)

Attorneys for Defendant

<u>ORDER</u>

APPROVED AND SO ORDERED:

Dated: July 24, 2018

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2