1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ORVILLE M. MORRIS, No. 2:17-cv-2286 KJM AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 CSP-SACRAMENTO, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On October 16, 2019, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations. which 21 were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings 22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 24. Plaintiff has not filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 25 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 26 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 27 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 28 ///// 1

	f d
1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations issued October 16, 2019 (ECF No. 24) are
5	ADOPTED in full.
6	2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice. <i>See</i> Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P.
7	41(b).
8	3. The clerk of court close this case.
9	DATED: December 12, 2019.
10	MA Mad Ca
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
-	d and the state of