1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LIUDMYLA IEGOROVA,	No. 2:17-cv-2296 JAM DB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SVETLANA CHERNYETSKY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, Liudmyla Iegorova, is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was referred	
18	to the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). By	
19	order signed May 9, 2018, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and plaintiff was granted leave to	
20	file an amended complaint that cured the defects noted in that order. (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff was	
21	granted twenty-eight days from the date of that order to file an amended complaint and was	
22	specifically cautioned that the failure to respond to the court's order in a timely manner would	
23	result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The twenty-eight day period has	
24	expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order in any manner.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
26	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
27	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
28	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
		1

1	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
2	with the court. A document containing objections should be titled "Objections to Magistrate	
3	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within	
4	the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst,	
5	951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
6	Dated: June 29, 2018	
7	I was the	
8	(CLICKEN)	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	DLB:6 DB/orders/orders.pro se/iegorova2296.fta.f&rs	
23		
24 25		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	