1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID MATAKIEWICZ, MARY MATAKIEWICZ,	No. 2:17-cv-02298-KJM-EFB
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	On January 8, 2018, the court ordered plaintiffs' counsel, Laleh Ensafi, to show	
19 20	cause why she should not be sanctioned in the form of \$250 in monetary sanctions or dismissal of	
20 21	the case. OSC Min. Order, ECF No. 16. In its order, the court noted that plaintiffs had not filed a response to defendent's motion to diamise, nor had they filed a status report. Id: see ECE No. 15.	
21 22	response to defendant's motion to dismiss, nor had they filed a status report. <i>Id.</i> ; <i>see</i> ECF No. 15 at 1 (defendant's status report notifying court of "unsuccessful" attempts to reach plaintiffs'	
22 23	counsel). The court's order continued the pretrial scheduling conference and ordered the parties	
23 24	to file a joint status report seven days prior, warning failure to do so "may result in the imposition	
24	of sanctions." OSC Min. Order.	
25 26	Plaintiffs' counsel did not respond to the order to show cause or file a status report.	
20 27	Defendant's independent status report indicates that plaintiffs' counsel did not "cooperat[e] to	
28	complete the report jointly." ECF No. 17 at 1-2. On January 29, 2018, plaintiffs filed notice of	
	1	

voluntary dismissal without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i). <sup>1</sup>	
ECF No. 20.	
In light of plaintiffs' notice of voluntary dismissal, the court DISCHARGES the	
outstanding order to show cause. The court nonetheless strongly cautions Ms. Ensafi in the future	
to honor her obligations to the court, including her obligation to timely comply with court orders.	
Accordingly, the order to show cause, ECF No. 16, is DISCHARGED;	
defendant's motion to dismiss, ECF No. 8, is DENIED as MOOT; and, in light of plaintiffs'	
notice of voluntary dismissal, ECF No. 20, this action is DISMISSED without prejudice with	
each party to bear its own costs and fees.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: January 31, 2018.	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
$\bigvee$	
<sup>1</sup> Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(i), a plaintiff who files "a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment" may	
dismiss her case without a court order. Fed. R. Civ. P. $41(a)(1)(A)(i)$ .	