

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM SCOTT ROBERTS,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
J. LIZARRAGA,  
Respondent.


No. 2:17-cv-2328 MCE AC P

ORDER

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” In the present case, the undersigned has recommended dismissal of the petition (ECF No. 7) and therefore does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner’s motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 9) is denied.

DATED: November 28, 2017

  
ALLISON CLAIRE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE