1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEVIN EUGENE KING,	No. 2:17-cv-02342 TLN CKD
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	TIMOTHY J. MAYOPOULOUS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, proceeding in this action pro se, has requested leave to proceed in forma	
18	pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule	
19	302(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
20	Plaintiff has submitted an affidavit making the showing required by 28 U.S.C. §	
21	1915(a)(1). Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma pauperis will be granted.	
22	In this action, plaintiff challenges an unlawful detainer action presently being taken	
23	against him in state court. (See ECF No. 1 at 9.) Because the unlawful detainer action was filed	
24	in state court prior to the initiation of the instant federal court action and both actions involve	
25	precisely the same property, this court is required to abstain from exercising jurisdiction. <u>See</u>	
26	Colorado River Water Conservation District v. United States, 424 U.S. 800 (1976); see also	
27	Scherbenske v. Wachovia Mortgage, FSB, 626 F.Supp.2d 1052, 1057-1058 (E. D. Cal. 2009).	
28	////	

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) is granted; and IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. '636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within seven days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: November 15, 2017 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 2 / king2342.unlawfuldetainer