

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

R. WAYNE JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
CEDRICK HOPKINS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2: 17-cv-2351 KJN P
ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed November 20, 2017, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement, and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed the required documents.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the
2 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
3 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
4 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: December 29, 2017

6 
7 _____
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 John2351.fifp

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28