

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CAROL LYNEE HAGAR,

No. 2:17-CV-2381-CMK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
SECURITY,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security. A review of the docket reflects that defendant has notified the court regarding consent to proceed before a Magistrate Judge. Plaintiff, however, has not notified the court regarding consent. Pursuant to the court’s scheduling order and Eastern District of California Local Rules, Appendix A, the time to do so has now expired.

Plaintiff shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to inform the court regarding consent to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction, as required by the court’s scheduling order. Submission of a completed

1 consent election form shall constitute a sufficient response. The Clerk of the Court is directed to  
2 serve on plaintiff a copy of the court's form entitled "Consent to Assignment or Request for  
3 Reassignment." Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order may result in the  
4 dismissal of the action for the reasons discussed above, as well as for failure to prosecute and  
5 comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8 DATED: August 10, 2018

9   
10 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26