1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	00000
11	
12	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF WHEAT No. 2:17-cv-2401 WBS EFB GROWERS; NATIONAL CORN GROWERS
13	ASSOCIATION; UNITED STATES DURUM GROWERS ASSOCIATION; WESTERN
14	PLANT HEALTH ASSOCIATION; IOWA ORDER SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION; SOUTH
15	DAKOTA AGRI-BUSINESS ASSOCIATION; NORTH DAKOTA GRAIN
16	GROWERS ASSOCIATION; MISSOURI CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND
17	INDUSTRY; MONSANTO COMPANY; ASSOCIATED INDUSTRIES OF
18	MISSOURI; AGRIBUSINESS ASSOCIATION OF IOWA; CROPLIFE
19	AMERICA; and AGRICULTURAL RETAILERS ASSOCIATION,
20	Plaintiffs,
21	v.
22	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official
23	capacity as Attorney General of the State of California,
24	Defendant.
25	
26	00000
27	On June 22, 2020, the court granted summary judgment on
28	
	1

7

14 15

16

18

17

19 20

21

22

23 2.4

25

26 27

28

plaintiffs' First Amendment claim and entered a permanent injunction enjoining enforcement of Proposition 65's warning requirement as to glyphosate. (Docket No. 165.) Accordingly, plaintiffs have achieved the goal of their suit -- a declaration that the warning requirement as to glyphosate is unconstitutional. 1

Accordingly, on or before August 14, 2020, the parties are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, if any there be, why the remaining counts of the First Amended Complaint should not be dismissed as moot and why final judgment should not now be entered. absence of a showing of good cause, the remaining counts will be dismissed and the court will forthwith enter final judgment on its order of June 22, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 30, 2020

WILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

V She HE

Indeed, plaintiffs relied solely on their First Amendment claim in seeking a preliminary injunction and then summary judgment, and at no point have they sought to litigate their Supremacy Clause and Due Process Clause claims beyond including them in the Complaint and First Amended Complaint.