1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALONSO DEARAUJO,	No. 2:17-cv-2419 DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JAMES JACKSON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	By an order filed issued June 10, 2019, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed, and he was	
19	granted thirty days leave to file an amended complaint. (See ECF No. 9). Thirty days from that	
20	date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded	
21	to the court's order.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a	
23	District Court Judge to this action.	
24	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
25	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
28		
		1

1	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
2	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections	
3	to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file	
4	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.	
5	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
6	Dated: July 23, 2019	
7	I MARIA ID	
8	DEBORAH BARNES	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12	DLB:13 DB/ORDERS/ORDERS.PRISONER.CIVIL RIGHTS/dear2419.fta	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	2	