1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 KENNETH ROBINSON, No. 2:17-cv-2550 DB P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 14 R. CASTELLON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state inmate proceeding pro se with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 18 1983. Plaintiff claims defendants were deliberately indifferent to his safety in violation of the 19 Eighth Amendment. By order dated February 8, 2019, plaintiff's first amended complaint was 20 screened and dismissed for failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 23.) Plaintiff was directed to file an 21 amended complaint within thirty days and warned that failure to file an amended complaint 22 would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Thereafter, plaintiff did not file an amended complaint. 23 24 On April 2, 2019, the court directed plaintiff to either dismiss this action or file an amended complaint within fourteen days. Plaintiff was again warned that failure to comply with 25

26

27

28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a district judge to this action. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: June 24, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB:12 DLB:1/Orders/prisoner-civil rights/robi2550.fsc