1 2

JAKE CLARK,

v.

RAYTHEL FISHER, JR.,

Petitioner.

Respondent.

////

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. 2:17-cv-02574 TLN GGH P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On September 4, 2018, the court dismissed petitioner's petition and motion for stay and abeyance. ECF No. 29. Petitioner was granted 30 days to file an amended petition in compliance with the instructions provided in the order. <u>Id.</u> On December 17, 2018, the court issued an order again ordering the petitioner to file an amended petition within 30 days. ECF No. 32. The court rejected petitioner's submission as a petition, ECF No. 30, based on petitioner's piecemeal response to part of his claims but not all, and accordingly ordered petitioner to file an amended petition consistent with the court's instructions. ECF No. 32. Petitioner was advised that the December 17, 2018 order, like the September 4, 2018 order, was not optional and that "[i]f the amended petition is not filed in accordance with the terms of this Order, a recommendation will be made that the entire action be dismissed." <u>Id.</u> Plaintiff has not responded to the court's orders, nor taken any action to prosecute this case.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: February 6, 2019

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE