

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SALVADOR B. VASQUEZ,
Petitioner,
v.
SCOTT FRAUENHEIM,
Respondents.

No. 2:17-cv-2579 KJN P

ORDER and FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed January 6, 2022, petitioner was ordered to show cause, within thirty days, why this action should not be dismissed. The thirty-day period has now expired, and petitioner has not shown cause or otherwise responded to the court’s order.


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this case.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the

1 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
2 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
3 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: February 14, 2022

5 
6 _____
7 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 /vasq2579.fsc.hab
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28