2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STEVEN DAVID MICHOFF, No. 2:17-cv-02584-MCE-CKD 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 COBURN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed February 21, 2010, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to 18 the court, within sixty days, the USM-285 form necessary to effect service on defendant 19 Ragusano. That time period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the 20 court's order. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that defendant Ragusano be dismissed 22 from this action without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). This action will proceed against 23 the remaining defendants who have been properly served. 24 These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within thirty days after 25 26 being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with 27 the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and Recommendations." 28 Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time

1

1	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
2	Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: April 29, 2020 Carop 11. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	12/mic2584.fusm.docx
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	