(HC) Rosiles v. Pfeiffer		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	FRANK RENE ROSILES,	No. 2:17-cv-02600-KJM-GGH
11	Petitioner,	
12	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CASE
13	C. PFEIFFER, Warden,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner is proceeding in pro se and informa pauperis in this habeas action. On	
17	December 18, 2017 this court issued an Order directing respondent to file a response within sixty	
18	(60) days from the date of the Order, ECF No. 3 at 2:3-6, and further directing that if the response	
19	was a Motion to Dismiss petitioner was to file an Opposition or Statement of Non-Opposition	
20	within thirty (30) days after the Motion was served. <u>Id.</u> at 9-12. Petitioner's pleading was,	
21	therefore, due for filing on or about January 20, 2018. Petitioner has submitted neither.	
22	In light of the foregoing IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. Petitioner shall show cause within thirty (30) days of this Order why his failure to	
24	file his Opposition or a Statement of Non-Opposition as ordered should not be deemed a waiver	
25	of any opposition to the granting of the motion <u>or</u> he shall file an opposition.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

1	2. Petitioner is cautioned that failure to respond timely to this Order to Show cause
2	will lead to a recommendation that his petition shall be dismissed with prejudice;
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: April 23, 2018
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES WAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	