1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JON HUMES, No. 2:17-cv-2650 JAM AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 ELISTON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a county inmate proceeding pro se with this civil rights action, requests 18 appointment of counsel "so my interests will be protected." See ECF No. 36. 19 The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require 20 counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 21 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the 22 voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 23 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). The 24 test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's likelihood of 25 success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the 26 complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th 27 Cir. 1986); Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances common to 28 most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish

exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. requests accordingly.¹ counsel, ECF No. 36, is denied without prejudice. DATED: April 5, 2019

Plaintiff addresses none of these factors in his instant request for appointment of counsel, and upon review of the record the court finds that this action does not presently demonstrate the requisite exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff has ably pursued this case on his own, and proceeding on his claim of excessive force against sole defendant Eliston. The case is in the discovery phase. Plaintiff has demonstrated the competency to identify any additional evidence that would support his claims and to formulate his discovery Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of auson Clane UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ Plaintiff's discovery requests may include the following: (1) requests for admission (yes-or-no statements of fact) directed to each defendant, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 36; (2) up to twenty-five interrogatories (written questions) directed to each defendant, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 33; and (3) requests for copies of documents, electronically stored information, or other tangible evidence directed to each defendant, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 34.