

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRODERICK WARFIELD,
Plaintiff,
v.
SOLANO COUNTY SHERIFF
DEPARTMENT, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2: 17-cv-2661 KJN P

ORDER & FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed January 11, 2018, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On January 19, 2018, the January 11, 2018 order was re-served on plaintiff at his new address of record. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall appoint a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
4 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: March 14, 2018

6 
7 _____
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 War2661.fta
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28