1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2	KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U. S. Attorney
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700
5	Attorneys for the United States
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 2:17-MC-00161-TLN-AC
12	Plaintiff,
13	v. CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
14	APPROXIMATELY \$40,350.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,
15	Defendant.
16	
17	Pursuant to the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, the Court finds:
18	1. On or May 10, 2017, agents with the United States Postal Inspection Service ("USPIS")
19	seized approximately \$40,350.00 in U.S. Currency ("the defendant currency") during a parcel
20	interdiction at the Processing and Distribution Center located in West Sacramento, California.
21	2. USPIS commenced administrative forfeiture proceedings, sending direct written notice to
22	all known potential claimants and publishing notice to all others. On or about July 28, 2017, USPIS
23	received a claim from NSYDE Motorsports, LLC ("NSYDE") asserting an ownership interest in the
24	defendant currency.
25	3. The United States represents that it could show at a forfeiture trial that on May 10,
26	2017, USPIS conducted a parcel interdiction at the Processing and Distribution Center located at 3775
27	Industrial Boulevard, West Sacramento, California. During the interdiction, law enforcement officials
28	identified a parcel that bore markers consistent with parcels used for shipping contraband. The
	1 Consent Judgment of Forfeiture
	Dockets.Justia.

package was addressed to Ving Hoang ("Hoang"), 8109 Spengler Drive, Sacramento, California,
 95828, with the following return address of NSYDE Motorsports, 820 Reynolds Ave., Columbus,
 Ohio, 73201.

4. The United States represents that it could further show at a forfeiture trial that law 4 enforcement officials went to the address for recipient Hoang but no one answered the door. Law 5 enforcement officials left a notice for Hoang and later in the day, inspectors received a phone call from 6 Hoang. Hoang told law enforcement officials he was expecting a parcel and said the parcel contained 7 gifts for his family and books. Law enforcement officials told Hoang that the parcel was flagged for 8 security purposes and was asked for consent to open the package. Hoang consented to open the parcel 9 and the law enforcement officials told him they found the defendant currency and suspected the cash 10 was drug proceeds. Hoang denied the money was drug proceeds and changed his story and said the 11 money was from the sale of a 1969 Chevrolet Camaro. 12

5. The United States represents that it could further show at a forfeiture trial that Hoang
told law enforcement officials he advertised the vehicle on Craigslist and described the vehicle as blue
in color with 29,000 miles on it and with California license plate number 7BDY083. Hoang said he
was asking \$42,000 for the Camaro but did not know how much money was in the parcel. Hoang did
not know how he was going to ship the Camaro to Ohio and did not want to provide the buyer's name.

6. The United States represents that it could further show at a forfeiture trial that law
 enforcement officials ran the California license plate number given by Hoang and noted that it was not
 registered to Hoang and the make of the vehicle with that license plate number was a Mercedes Benz
 with 46,821 miles. Law enforcement officials never received a call from the sender of the money and
 law enforcement officials were unable to locate a phone number for the sender.

7. The United States represents that it could further show at a forfeiture trial that the
parcel was presented to a drug detection dog, who positively alerted to the presence of the odor of
narcotics.

8. The United States could further show at a forfeiture trial that the defendant currency is
forfeitable to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

28

9. Without admitting the truth of the factual assertions contained in this stipulation,

2

potential claimant NSYDE specifically denies the same, and for the purpose of reaching an amicable
resolution and compromise of this matter, potential claimant NSYDE agrees that an adequate factual
basis exists to support forfeiture of the defendant currency. NSYDE hereby acknowledges that it is the
sole owner of the defendant currency, and that no other person or entity has any legitimate claim of
interest therein. Should any person or entity institute any kind of claim or action against the
government with regard to its forfeiture of the defendant currency, potential claimant NSYDE shall
hold harmless and indemnify the United States, as set forth below.

8 10. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1355, as
9 this is the judicial district in which acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred.

10 11. This Court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395, as this is the judicial district in
11 which the defendant currency was seized.

12 12. The parties herein desire to settle this matter pursuant to the terms of a duly executed
13 Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

Based upon the above findings, and the files and records of the Court, it is hereby ORDEREDAND ADJUDGED:

16 1. The Court adopts the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture entered into by17 and between the parties.

Upon entry of the Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, \$30,350.00 of the Approximately
 \$40,350.00 in U.S. Currency, together with any interest that may have accrued on the total amount
 seized, shall be forfeited to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6), to be disposed of
 according to law.

3. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 60 days thereafter,
\$10,000.00 of the Approximately \$40,350.00 in U.S. Currency shall be returned to potential claimant
NSYDE Motorsports, LLC through its attorney Paul E. Adamson.

4. The United States of America and its servants, agents, and employees and all other
public entities, their servants, agents and employees, are released from any and all liability arising out
of or in any way connected with the seizure or forfeiture of the defendant currency. This is a full and
final release applying to all unknown and unanticipated injuries, and/or damages arising out of said

3

seizure or forfeiture, as well as to those now known or disclosed. Potential claimant NSYDE waives
 the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542.

5. No portion of the stipulated settlement, including statements or admissions made
therein, shall be admissible in any criminal action pursuant to Rules 408 and 410(a)(4) of the Federal
Rules of Evidence.

6. All parties will bear their own costs and attorney's fees.

7 7. Pursuant to the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein, the Court
8 enters a Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was reasonable cause
9 for the seizure of the above-described defendant currency.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 11, 2017

AN)

Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge