1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GREGORY ALLEN TUCKER, No. 2:18-cv-0035 TLN KJN P 12 Petitioner. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 WARDEN, SACRAMENTO STATE PRISON. 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel. Respondent has filed a motion 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

to dismiss this action because petitioner failed to use the proper form; his claims that he received ineffective assistance of trial counsel, that his sentence was unauthorized, and that a firearm enhancement was improperly imposed are untimely; and his claim regarding parole eligibility is not cognizable on federal habeas. Subsequently, petitioner filed a motion to amend to correct or cure the deficiencies addressed in respondent's motion. Respondent does not oppose the motion to amend, and states that addressing the issues in the motion to dismiss would be premature if the court allows petitioner to file an amended petition. (ECF No. 24 at 1.)

Under Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, leave to amend shall be given freely when justice requires. In deciding whether justice requires granting leave to amend, factors to be considered include the presence or absence of undue delay, bad faith, dilatory motive,

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

repeated failure to cure deficiencies by previous amendments, undue prejudice to the opposing party, and futility of proposed amendment. Based on the foregoing factors, the undersigned concludes that justice requires leave to amend in this instance. In addition to filing his amended petition on the court's habeas form, petitioner should name David Baughman, current warden of California State Prison, Sacramento, as respondent. See Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994). By this order, the undersigned makes no finding as to the timeliness of any of the claims contained in his original petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1). Petitioner is cautioned that failure to file an amended petition may result in the dismissal of this action.

Because petitioner is granted leave to file an amended petition, respondent's motion to dismiss is dismissed without prejudice. Similarly, petitioner's motion for discovery is premature, and is denied without prejudice to its renewal after resolution of respondent's renewed motion to dismiss.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's motion to amend (ECF No. 20) is granted;
- 2. Within thirty days from the date of this order, petitioner shall file an amended petition on the court's form for filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254;
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the form for filing a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254;
 - 4. Respondent's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 17) is denied without prejudice; and
- 5. Petitioner's motion to conduct discovery (ECF No. 25) is denied without prejudice.

22 Dated: January 14, 2019

23

24

25

2627

28

/tuck0035.mta

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE