1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALESHNA KUMARI, A.K., A.S.,	No. 2:18-cv-00061 WBS AC
12	and A.K.M.,	
13	Plaintiffs,	ORDER RE: MOTION TO APPOINT
14	V.	GUARDIAN AD LITEM
15	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; JESSE GOMEZ-COATES, an individual;	
16	JANELLE GONZALEZ, an individual; LEONA WILLIAMS,	
17	an individual; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	00000	
21	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 17(c) and	
22	Local Rule 202, plaintiffs Aleshna Kumari and her minor children	
23	A.K., A.S., and A.K.M. now move to appoint Hardip Singh as the	
24	guardian ad litem for A.K., A.S., and A.K.M (Docket No. 11).	
25	No objections to the motion have been raised.	
26	Furthermore, the plaintiffs' Motion to Appoint Guardian Ad Litem	
27	(Docket No. 11) demonstrates that:	
28	1. Hardip Singh previously served as guardian ad litem	

1	for A.K., A.S., and A.K.M. when this case was pending before the	
2	State Superior Court prior to removal. He is a friend of the	
3	plaintiffs, and resides at 4381 Gateway Park Blvd., #500,	
4	Sacramento, CA 95834.	
5	2. Hardip Singh is a competent and reasonable person,	
6	qualified to become the guardian ad litem of said minors, aware	
7	of the responsibilities the position entails, and he consents to	
8	act in such a capacity.	
9	3. No previous application for appointment of a	
10	guardian ad litem in this matter has been made. $^{ m 1}$	
11	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiffs' Motion to	
12	Appoint Hardip Singh as Guardian Ad Litem (Docket No. 11) be, and	
13	the same hereby is, GRANTED.	
14	Dated: September 13, 2018	
15	WILLIAM B. SHUBB	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	for minor plaintiffs before the summons is served. Cal. Civ.	

Technically, the guardian ad litem should be appointed for minor plaintiffs before the summons is served. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 373(a) ("If the minor is the plaintiff the appointment must be made before the summons is issued . . .") However, the parties in this case have stipulated that the plaintiffs should have additional time to file their Motion seeking the appointment of a guardian ad litem. (Stip. & Order (Docket No. 10)).