

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

XUAN THI PHAN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:16-cv-2328 WBS DB

ORDER RELATING CASES

MICHELLE HILL, an individual,
and ARIEL EPSTEIN POLLACK, an
individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:17-cv-1604 WBS DB

1 ERICKA BOHNEL, an individual,
2 and ROSA MARTINEZ, an
individual,

No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DMC

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

5 JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION, a
6 Delaware corporation,

7 Defendant.

8
9 -----oo0oo-----

10 The court previously related Phan v. Jetblue Airways
11 Corporation, 2:16-cv-2328 WBS, and Hill v. Jetblue Airways
12 Corporation, 2:17-cv-1604 WBS, because both cases arose from
13 injuries allegedly incurred by each plaintiff while a passenger
14 on JetBlue Flight No. 429 on August 11, 2016 from Boston Logan
15 International Airport to Sacramento International Airport.
16 Similarly, the court finds that Bohnel v. Jetblue Airways
17 Corporation, Case No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DMC, is related within the
18 meaning of Local Rule 123(a) to Phan and Hill because Bohnel
19 involves injuries allegedly incurred during the same Jetblue
20 flight. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same
21 judge is likely to effect a substantial saving of judicial effort
22 and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.¹

23 The parties should be aware that relating the cases
24 under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that all actions are
25

26
27 ¹ Although the parties noted in their Joint Status Report
28 in Case No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DMC that Bohnel and Hill were related
cases, they did not file a separate Notice of Related Case as
required by Local Rule 123(b).

1 assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the actions is
2 effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related
3 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to
4 whom the first filed action was assigned.

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated
6 Phan v. JetBlue Airways Corporation, No. 2:16-2328 WBS DB, Hill
7 v. JetBlue Airways Corporation, No. 2:17-1604 WBS DB, and Bohnel
8 v. Jetblue Airways Corporation, No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DMC be, and
9 the same hereby are, deemed related. The case denominated Bohnel
10 v. Jetblue Airways Corp., No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DMC, shall remain
11 with the Honorable William B. Shubb, but any pending motions
12 noticed for hearing before the Honorable Dennis M. Cota must be
13 reset and noticed for hearing before the Honorable Deborah
14 Barnes. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the
15 reassigned case shall be shown as Bohnel v. Jetblue Airways
16 Corporation, No. 2:18-cv-81 WBS DB.

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
18 an appropriate adjustment in the assignment of cases to
19 compensate for this reassignment.

20 Dated: January 5, 2021



21 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
22 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

23
24
25
26
27
28