

1 KRISTINE L. MOLLENKOPF (SBN 185914)
 2 kristine.mollenkopf@lincolnca.gov
 3 City of Lincoln, City Attorney’s Office
 4 600 Sixth Street
 5 Lincoln, CA 95648
 6 Tel: (916) 434-2428

7 William D. Brown (SBN 125468)
 8 bbrown@brownandwinters.com
 9 Jeffrey T. Orrell (SBN 237581)
 10 jorrell@brownandwinters.com
 11 Janet Menacher (SBN 291365)
 12 jmenacher@brownandwinters.com
 13 Charles D. Grosenick (SBN 317715)
 14 cgrosenick@brownandwinters.com
 15 Brown & Winters
 16 2533 South Coast Highway, Suite 270
 17 Cardiff-by-the-Sea, CA 92007-2133
 18 Tel: 760-633-4485/Fax: 760-633-4427

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant CITY OF LINCOLN

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CITY OF LINCOLN,
 Plaintiff,
 v.
 COUNTY OF PLACER; and DOES 1 through
 100, inclusive,
 Defendant,

Case No. 2:18-CV-00087-KJM-AC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
 EXTEND THE FILING TIME FOR
 THE CITY OF LINCOLN’S
 OPPOSITION TO THE COUNTY OF
 PLACER’S MOTION TO AMEND
 ANSWER AND THE COUNTY OF
 PLACER’S REPLY**

Date: January 27, 2023
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller
 Courtroom: 3

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

00057581.1

1 By and through undersigned counsel, Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant City of Lincoln (“City”)
2 and Defendant/Counterclaimant County of Placer (“County”) (hereinafter collectively, “Parties”)
3 hereby stipulate as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on October 19, 2022, the City served its First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 66)
5 on the County;

6 WHEREAS, on November 2, 2022, the County filed its answer to the First Amended
7 Complaint (Dkt. 67);

8 WHEREAS, on November 23, 2022, the City filed its Motion to Strike the County’s
9 Second Affirmative Defense within its Answer to the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 68);

10 WHEREAS, on December 23, 2022, the County filed its Motion to Amend its Answer to
11 the First Amended Complaint (the “Motion to Amend”), concurrently herewith;

12 WHEREAS, per E. D. Cal. Local Rule 230(c), the City’s Opposition to the Motion to
13 Amend would otherwise be due no later than January 6, 2023;

14 WHEREAS, in recognition of the City’s agreement to an extension by two additional days
15 for filing the opposition to any motion to be filed by the City between the date hereof and January
16 1, 2023, and in recognition of the Christmas and New Year’s public holidays, the Parties stipulate
17 to the following extensions of time for filing the opposition and the reply to the County’s Motion
18 to Amend.

19 NOW THEREFORE, the Stipulating Parties hereby stipulate, pursuant to Local Rule 144,
20 to the following:

- 21 1. The City may file its Opposition to the County’s Motion to Amend on or before January 9,
22 2023.
- 23 2. The County may file its Reply to the City’s Opposition to the Motion to Amend on or
24 before January 20, 2023.

25 //

26 //

27 //

28

1 Dated: December 23, 2022

BROWN & WINTERS

2
3 By: /s/Janet Menacher

4 WILLIAM D. BROWN

JEFFREY T. ORRELL

JANET MENACHER

5 CHARLES D. GROSENICK

6 RYAN D. DROBEK

Attorneys for Plaintiff and

7 Counter-Defendant CITY OF LINCOLN

8 Dated: December 23, 2022

HARTMAN KING PC

9
10 By: /s/Alanna Lungren

11 JENNIFER HARTMAN KING

ALANNA LUNGREN

12 WILLIAM D. MARSH

J. R. PARKER

13 ANDREYA WOO NAZAL

Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant

14 COUNTY OF PLACER

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4
5 CITY OF LINCOLN,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 COUNTY OF PLACER; and DOES 1
9 through 100, inclusive,

10 Defendants,

11 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
12

Case No.: 2:18-CV-00087-KJM-AC

**ORDER TO EXTEND THE FILING
TIME FOR THE CITY OF LINCOLN'S
OPPOSITION TO COUNTY OF
PLACER'S MOTION TO AMEND
ANSWER AND THE COUNTY OF
PLACER'S REPLY**

13 Upon consideration of Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant City of Lincoln's ("City") and
14 Defendant/Counterclaimant County of Placer's ("County") Stipulation to Extend Time for City of
15 Lincoln to File Opposition to County of Placer's Motion to Amend, and finding good cause
16 therefor, the Court orders:

- 17 1. The City may file its Opposition to the County's Motion to Amend on or before January 9,
18 2023.
- 19 2. The County may file its Reply to the City's Opposition to the Motion to Amend on or
20 before January 20, 2023.
- 21 3. In light of this new briefing schedule, the motion hearing for the two pending motions,
22 ECF Nos. 68 and 73, set for January 27, 2023 is reset for March 10, 2023.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: January 4, 2023.

25
26 
27 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28