1			
2			
2			
3 4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CARON HOSSEINION,	No. 2:18-cv-00211 TLN CKD (PS)	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS	
14	UNKNOWN,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States		
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c).		
19	On February 5, 2018 the undersigned issued an order to show cause no later than February		
20	20, 2018 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised		
21	that failure to allege a proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this		
22	action be dismissed. The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not responded to the order to		
23	show cause.		
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of		
25	jurisdiction.		
26	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
27	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days		
28	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 1		
		1	

1	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
2	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that	
3	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District	
4	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
5	Dated: February 28, 2018	Carop U. Delany
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8		
9		
10	2/ hossein0211.nojuris_f&r	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2