1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DARREN K. COLEMAN, No. 2:18-cv-0219 KJN P 12 Plaintiff. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 13 v. 14 PENNINGTON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed March 13, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma 18 pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his jail trust account statement, and was cautioned that 19 failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day 20 period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed the 21 required documents. 22 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and 23 24 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

28

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: April 25, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /cole0219.fifp