1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL ARZAGA,	No. 2:18-cv-0313 KJM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	E. SANTIAGO, et al.,	
15	D.C. 1.	
13	Defendants.	
16	Defendants.	
		se with a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C.
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro	se with a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint
16 17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro \$ 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This acti	
16 17 18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro \$ 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This actialleging Eighth Amendment claims against de	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint
16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro \$ 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This actialleging Eighth Amendment claims against de	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF
16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro \$ 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This acti alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the post-
16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro s § 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This acti alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the post-
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pro \$ 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This actialleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler screening ADR project. After reviewing the	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the postmotion, the court finds good cause to grant
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pros § 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This activates alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler screening ADR project. After reviewing the defendants' motion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDER	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the postmotion, the court finds good cause to grant
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pros § 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This activates alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler screening ADR project. After reviewing the defendants' motion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDER	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the postmotion, the court finds good cause to grant EED that:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pros § 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This activate alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler screening ADR project. After reviewing the defendants' motion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDER 1. Defendants' motion to opt out (EC)	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the postmotion, the court finds good cause to grant EED that:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, appears pros § 1983. Plaintiff paid the filing fee. This activate alleging Eighth Amendment claims against de Haluik, A. Victoriano, and S. Pak pursuant to No. 16.) On May 29, 2020, defendants Gisler screening ADR project. After reviewing the defendants' motion. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDER 1. Defendants' motion to opt out (EC)	on proceeds on plaintiff's amended complaint efendants E. Santiago, Gisler (sued as "G. Donna"), 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b). (ECF and Pak filed a motion to opt out of the postmotion, the court finds good cause to grant EED that: F. No. 44) is granted and the stay of this action is

1	2. By separate order, the court will set a schedule for this case.	
2	Dated: June 2, 2020	
3	Ferdal J. Newman	
4	/arza0313.optout KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5	ONTED STATES MAGISTRATE TODGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		