1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	SAMUEL SALDANA,	No. 2:18-cv-0319 KJM AC P
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
15	M.E. SPEARMAN, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
20	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On October 13, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
22	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
23	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty one days. ECF No. 69. Neither	
24	party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.	
25	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
26	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
27	See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the	
28	magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court").	
		1

1	Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by	
2	the record and by the proper analysis.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 13, 2021, ECF No. 69, are adopted in	
5	full;	
6	2. Defendant's motion for summary judgment, ECF No. 56, is DENIED; and	
7	3. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.	
8	DATED: January 5, 2022.	
9	100 m n0	
10	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11	CHIEF CIVILED STATES DISTRICT SODOE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	