Case 2:18-cv-00329-JAM-KJN Document 64 Filed 07/21/21 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TAYLOR & RING John C. Taylor (SBN 78389) Neil K. Gehlawat (SBN 289388) 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 360 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Telephone: 310-209-4100 Email: gehlawat@taylorring.com THE LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS C. SEABAUGH Thomas C. Seabaugh, Esq. (SBN 272458) 333 South Grand Ave, 42nd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 225-5850 tseabaugh@seabaughfirm.com	
11	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
12		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	EASTERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	DANIEL BARRERA, et al.	CASE NO. 2:18-cv-00329-JAM-KJN
17	Plaintiffs,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER ADJUSTING EXPERT DISCLOSURE
18	VS.	DEADLINES
19		
20	CITY OF WOODLAND, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case No. 2:18-cv-00329-JAM-KJN
JOINT STATUS REPORT

Joint Stipulation

The parties hereby stipulate to and request an Order modifying the Pretrial Scheduling Order (ECF No. 60) as to expert disclosures only, extending the deadlines by two weeks.

A previous stipulation, which was granted, moved the deadlines by two weeks, and this stipulation would add an additional two weeks, bringing the total departure from the original schedule to one month. This proposed change will not affect the September 24, 2021 discovery deadline; the November 5, 2021, dispositive motion filing deadline; or trial set for April 11, 2022.

Currently, the parties are required to make Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) expert witness disclosures by **August 6, 2021**, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(c) supplemental and rebuttal expert witness disclosures by **August 20, 2021**. The parties propose to move these two deadlines by two weeks, such that Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) disclosures shall be made by **August 20, 2021**, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(c) disclosures shall be made by **September 3, 2021**.

The requested change is chiefly to accommodate the schedule of a non-party witness, namely the coroner who performed the autopsy on the decedent in this case. This witness resides out of state and has a busy schedule, which the parties wish to accommodate. However, the parties wish to accomplish his deposition before disclosing expert reports. An extension would help the parties avoid causing undue inconvenience or hardship to this third-party witness. The parties submit that good cause exists to grant this extension because the parties agree, no party will be prejudiced, the grounds are reasonable, and because the no other aspect of the pretrial schedule will be affected.

//

Case 2:18-cv-00329-JAM-KJN Document 64 Filed 07/21/21 Page 3 of 3

1	A proposed order is submitted below.	
1	SO STIPULATED.	
2	SO STH CLATED.	
3 4	Dated: July 20, 2021 ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP	
5	s/Derick E. Konz¹	
6	By: BRUCE A. KILDAY	
7	DERICK E. KONZ Attorneys for Defendants	
8		
9		
10	Dated: July 20, 2021 TAYLOR AND RING; LAW OFFICE OF THOMAS C. SEABAUGH	
11	s/ Thomas C. Seabaugh	
12	By:	
13	NEIL K. GEHLAWAT THOMAS C. SEABAUGH	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15		
16	Proposed Order	
17	The Pretrial Scheduling Order (ECF No. 60) is modified such that Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) disclosures shall be made by <u>August 20, 2021</u> , and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(c) disclosures shall be	
18		
19	made by September 3, 2021.	
20	SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	Dated: July 20, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez	
23	THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
24		
25		
26		
2728	¹ Authorized via email 7/19/2021 at 1:49 pm.	
	Case No. 2:18-cv-00329-JAM-KJN JOINT STATUS REPORT	