1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CITY OF SACRAMENTO, No. 2:18-cv-0333 MCE GGH 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 DANIEL JAMES ALTSTATT, 15 Defendant. 16 17 On November 6, 2018, the magistrate judge to whom this matter was referred issued 18 Findings and Recommendations recommending that this matter, which had been removed from 19 Sacramento Superior Court by defendant Altstatt, be remanded. ECF No. 16. In his 20 recommendations the magistrate judge granted defendant fourteen days from the date of service 21 to file written objections with the court. Id. Defendant has not filed timely objections. 22 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Eastern District of 23 California Local Rule 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file and the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations the Court ADOPTS 24 25 the findings and recommendations in full. 26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 27 1. Defendant's motion to remand this case to Sacramento Superior Court, ECF No. 3, is GRANTED; 28 1

1	2. The Clerk of the Court shall close this case.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	Dated: January 2, 2019
4	Moun lex
5	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	