(HC) James v. Seibel Doc. 18 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GORDON JAMES, No. 2:18-cv-0358 KJM AC P 12 Petitioner, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 KIMBERLY A. SEIBEL, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as 19 provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On May 19, 2022, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, which were 21 served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings 22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 17. Petitioner has not filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 25 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 26 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 27 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ///// 28

1

1	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations issued May 19, 2022 (ECF No. 17), are
5	ADOPTED in full,
6	2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule
7	183(b), and
8	3. The court DECLINES to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.
9	§ 2253.
10	DATED: June 9, 2022.
11	100 000
12	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	CHILL STATES DISTRICT SEDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2526	
27	
28	
20	