1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GLENN WYATT ROBISON, No. 2:18-cv-0441 JAM AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 CDCR, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff has filed a "motion to amend . . . rather [than] electing to object" to the 18 undersigned's findings and recommendations filed December 4, 2018. See ECF No. 14. The 19 findings and recommendations reflect the court's screening of the original complaint pursuant to 20 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, and recommend dismissal of this action for failure to state a potentially 21 cognizable claim for relief. See ECF No. 11. The court granted plaintiff's prior request for 22 extended time to file objections to the findings and recommendations. See ECF Nos. 12, 13. In 23 his present motion, plaintiff requests a further extension of time to file objections if the court 24 denies his request to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 14. 25 For the reasons set forth in the court's findings and recommendations, see ECF No. 11, 26 the undersigned finds that amendment of the complaint would be futile; the request will therefore 27 be denied. However, plaintiff will be granted an additional fourteen days to file objections to the 28 findings and recommendations; no further extensions of time will be granted.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint, ECF No. 14, is denied for the reasons set forth in the court's screening order and findings and recommendations (see ECF No. 11); 2. Plaintiff is granted fourteen (14) days after service of this order to file objections to the findings and recommendations; 3. No further extensions of time will be granted. DATED: March 4, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE