

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEVIN HOLMES,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

MELBA STARR, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-0446 AC P

ORDER

Plaintiff Kevin Holmes is a former state prisoner¹ most recently incarcerated in the California Substance Abuse Treatment Facility (CSATF) in Corcoran, under the authority of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR). Plaintiff proceeds pro se with an application to proceed in forma pauperis filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, and a complaint filed pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff challenges conditions of his prior confinement at Deuel Vocational Institution (DVI) from August 2017 through March 2018, particularly the alleged failure of the facility to accommodate plaintiff’s

¹ Review of the Inmate Locator website operated by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) indicates that plaintiff is no longer incarcerated under the authority of the CDCR. See <http://inmatelocator.cdcr.ca.gov/search.aspx>. See also Fed. R. Evid. 201 (court may take judicial notice of facts that are capable of accurate determination by sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned); see also *City of Sausalito v. O’Neill*, 386 F.3d 1186, 1224 n.2 (9th Cir. 2004) (“We may take judicial notice of a record of a state agency not subject to reasonable dispute.”).

1 disability needs. This action is referred to the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge
2 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302(c).

3 Preliminary review of plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A indicates that it
4 may state a cognizable claim. However, to proceed with this action, plaintiff must provide his
5 current address and, if he remains unincarcerated, complete a non-prisoner application to proceed
6 in forma pauperis. Plaintiff is informed that it is his responsibility to keep the court apprised of
7 his current address at all times. See Local Rules 182(f), 183(b). Hence, service of this order at
8 plaintiff's last address of record is fully effective. See Local Rule 182(f) ("Absent such notice [of
9 current address], service of documents at the prior address of the . . . pro se party shall be fully
10 effective.").

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. Plaintiff shall, within thirty (30) days after the filing date of this order, complete and
13 file the attached non-prisoner application to proceed in forma pauperis, which shall include
14 plaintiff's current address.

15 2. Failure of plaintiff to timely comply with this order will result in a recommendation
16 that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

17 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to send plaintiff, together with a copy of this order, a
18 blank application to proceed in forma pauperis used by non-prisoners in this district.

19 DATED: July 14, 2020

20 
21 ALLISON CLAIRE
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28