

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AJAY KUMAR DEV,
Plaintiff,
v.
BIRENDRA NARAYAN DEO, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-0480 TLN AC PS

ORDER

The court is in receipt of defendants’ Motion for Protective Order, ECF No. 14. In light of the pendency of defendants’ Motion to Dismiss, see ECF Nos. 5 (motion), 11 (order granting plaintiff additional time to respond to motion), the request will be granted.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all discovery in this case is STAYED until further order of the court upon disposition of the Motion to Dismiss.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

1. Unless otherwise ordered, all motions to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, motions concerning discovery, motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7, 11, 12, 15, 41, 55, 56, 59 and 60, and E.D. Cal. R. 110, shall be briefed pursuant to L.R. 230(l). Failure to timely oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion. See L.R. 230(l). Opposition to all other motions need be filed only as directed by the court.

2. If plaintiff is released from prison while this case is pending, any party may request

1 application of the other provisions of L.R. 230 in lieu of L.R. 230(1). Until such a motion is
2 granted, L.R. 230(1) will govern all motions described in #1 above regardless of plaintiff's
3 custodial status. See L.R. 102(d).

4 3. Pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and
5 Klinge v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988), the court hereby informs plaintiff of the
6 following requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.
7 56. Such a motion is a request for an order for judgment in favor of the defendant without trial.
8 A defendant's motion for summary judgment will set forth the facts that the defendant contends
9 are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle the defendant to judgment. To oppose a
10 motion for summary judgment, plaintiff must show proof of his or her claims. Plaintiff may do
11 this in one or more of the following ways. Plaintiff may rely on plaintiff's statements made under
12 penalty of perjury in the complaint if the complaint shows that plaintiff has personal knowledge
13 of the matters stated and plaintiff specifies those parts of the complaint on which plaintiff relies.
14 Plaintiff may serve and file one or more affidavits or declarations setting forth the facts that
15 plaintiff believes prove plaintiff's claims; the person who signs an affidavit or declaration must
16 have personal knowledge of the facts stated. Plaintiff may rely on written records, but plaintiff
17 must prove that the records are what plaintiff asserts they are. Plaintiff may rely on all or any part
18 of the transcript of one or more depositions, answers to interrogatories, or admissions obtained in
19 this proceeding. If plaintiff fails to contradict the defendant's evidence with counteraffidavits or
20 other admissible evidence, the court may accept defendant's evidence as true and grant the
21 motion. If there is some good reason why such facts are not available to plaintiff when required
22 to oppose a motion for summary judgment, the court will consider a request to postpone
23 consideration of the defendant's motion. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). If plaintiff does not serve and
24 file a written opposition to the motion, or a request to postpone consideration of the motion, the
25 court may consider the failure to act as a waiver of opposition to the defendant's motion. See
26 L.R. 230(1). If the court grants the motion for summary judgment, whether opposed or
27 unopposed, judgment will be entered for the defendant without a trial and the case will be closed
28 as to that defendant.

1 4. If defendant moves for summary judgment, defendant must contemporaneously serve
2 with the motion, but in a separate document, a copy of the attached Rand Notice. See Woods v.
3 Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 935 (9th Cir. 2012); Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998).

4 **Failure to do so may constitute grounds for denial of the motion.**

5 5. Unsigned affidavits or declarations will be stricken, and affidavits or declarations not
6 signed under penalty of perjury have no evidentiary value.

7 6. Each party proceeding without counsel shall keep the court informed of a current
8 address at all times while the action is pending. Any change of address must be reported
9 promptly to the court in a separate document captioned for this case and entitled "Notice of
10 Change of Address." A notice of change of address must be properly served on other parties.
11 Service of documents at the address of record for a party is fully effective. See L.R. 182(f). A
12 party's failure to inform the court of a change of address may result in the imposition of sanctions
13 including dismissal of the action.

14 7. The Clerk of the Court shall serve on plaintiff a copy of the Local Rules of Court.

15 8. The failure of any party to comply with this order, the Federal Rules of Civil
16 Procedure, or the Local Rules of Court, may result in the imposition of sanctions including, but
17 not limited to, dismissal of the action or entry of default. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

18 IT IS SO ORDERD.

19 DATED: June 18, 2018

20 
21 ALLISON CLAIRE
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28

Rand Notice to Plaintiff

This notice is provided to ensure that you, a pro se prisoner plaintiff, “have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required” to oppose a motion for summary judgment. See Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012); Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 957 (9th Cir. 1998). The court requires that you be provided with this notice regarding the requirements for opposing a motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

When a defendant moves for summary judgment, the defendant is requesting that the court grant judgment in defendant’s favor without a trial. If there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the defendant who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case against that defendant. A motion for summary judgment will set forth the facts that the defendant asserts are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle the defendant to judgment.

To oppose a motion for summary judgment, you must show proof of your claims.¹ To do this, you may refer to specific statements made in your complaint if you signed your complaint under penalty of perjury and if your complaint shows that you have personal knowledge of the matters stated. You may also submit declarations setting forth the facts that you believe prove your claims, as long as the person who signs the declaration has personal knowledge of the facts stated. You may also submit all or part of deposition transcripts, answers to interrogatories, admissions, and other authenticated documents. For each of the facts listed in the defendant’s Statement of Undisputed Facts, you must admit the facts that are undisputed, and deny the facts that are disputed. If you deny a fact, you must cite to the proof that you rely on to support your denial. See L.R. 260(b). If you fail to contradict the defendant’s evidence with your own evidence, the court may accept the defendant’s evidence as the truth and grant the motion.

The court will consider a request to postpone consideration of the defendant’s motion if you submit a declaration showing that for a specific reason you cannot present such facts in your opposition. If you do not respond to the motion, the court may consider your failure to act as a waiver of your opposition. See L.R. 230(1).

If the court grants the defendant’s motion, whether opposed or unopposed, judgment will be entered for that defendant without a trial and the case will be closed as to that defendant.

¹ If the motion for summary judgment concerns the exhaustion of administrative remedies, you must submit proof of specific facts regarding the exhaustion of administrative remedies. See Stratton v. Buck, 697 F.3d 1004, 1008 (9th Cir. 2012); Albino v. Baca, 747 F.3d 1162 (9th Cir. April 3, 2014).