

1 XAVIER BECERRA
 Attorney General of California
 2 THOMAS PATTERSON
 Senior Assistant Attorney General
 3 MICHAEL NEWMAN
 SATOSHI YANAI
 4 Supervising Deputy Attorneys General
 CHRISTINE CHUANG
 5 ANTHONY HAKL
 CHEROKEE DM MELTON
 6 LEE I. SHERMAN
 Deputy Attorneys General
 7 State Bar No. 272271
 300 S. Spring Street
 8 Los Angeles, CA 90013
 Telephone: (213) 269-6404
 9 Fax: (213) 897-7605
 E-mail: Lee.Sherman@doj.ca.gov
 10 *Attorneys for Defendants*

11 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 16
 17 Plaintiff,
 18 v.
 19 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; EDMUND
 20 GERALD BROWN JR., Governor of
 California, in his official capacity; and
 21 XAVIER BECERRA, Attorney General of
 California, in his official capacity,
 22 Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO
 RESPOND TO THE UNITED STATES'
 COMPLAINT**

Judge: Honorable John A. Mendez
 Action Filed: March 6, 2018

1 The United States of America (“United States”) served its complaint on Defendants State of
2 California, Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor of California in his official capacity, and Xavier
3 Becerra, Attorney General of California, in his official capacity (collectively, “the State of
4 California”) on March 7, 2018. On March 27, 2018, pursuant to Local Rule 144 the parties filed
5 an initial stipulation extending the State of California’s time to respond to the United States’
6 complaint by 28 days, up to and including April 25, 2018. The State of California intends to file
7 a motion to dismiss the United States’ complaint, which in Defendants’ view, will likely include
8 issues overlapping with the motion for preliminary injunction.

9 In addition, the parties are aware of the possibility that third parties may move to intervene
10 in this action. On April 20, 2018, the County of Orange moved to intervene (Dkt. No. 59).
11 Should the County of Orange or any other putative intervener be granted leave to intervene, any
12 motions to dismiss the complaints in intervention may require a briefing schedule concerning
13 potentially overlapping issues in a separate motion or motions to dismiss.

14 In light of the foregoing, and in order to allow the parties to confer on the most efficient
15 means of briefing Defendants’ motion to dismiss and any motions to intervene and/or motions to
16 dismiss any complaints in intervention, the parties therefore stipulate and agree as follows:

17 1. The State of California be allowed an additional extension of time to respond to the
18 United States’ complaint of no more than nine (9) days, until May 4, 2018, which is the same date
19 the State of California’s opposition to the United States’ motion for preliminary injunction is due.
20 This is the second extension of time sought by Defendants in this matter.

21 2. Furthermore, the parties have met and conferred regarding the remainder of the
22 motion to dismiss briefing schedule and the date of the hearing on the State of California’s
23 motion to dismiss in light of the County of Orange’s motion to intervene and other possible
24 motions, but have been unable to reach agreement. Therefore, the parties further stipulate that
25 they will continue to meet and confer regarding the impact of the pending intervention motions on
26 the schedule for the State of California’s motion to dismiss and any other motions and pleadings
27 necessitated by the participation of any putative interveners in this case, and respectfully seek
28 leave to file a joint status report on or before April 27, 2018, which shall summarize the parties’

1 respective positions on the schedule for the State of California's motion to dismiss in light of any
2 pending intervention motions, briefing on any motions to intervene and, if such motions are
3 granted, motions to dismiss any complaints in intervention, and request the Court to set an
4 appropriate schedule.

5
6 Dated: April 23, 2018

Respectfully Submitted,

7 CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General
8 MCGREGOR SCOTT
United States Attorney
9 AUGUST FLETJE
Special Counsel
EREZ REUVENI
10 Assistant Director
DAVID SHELEDY
11 Civil Chief, Assistant United States Attorney
LAUREN C. BINGHAM
12 JOSEPH A. DARROW
JOSHUA S. PRESS

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
THOMAS PATTERSON
Senior Assistant Attorney General
MICHAEL NEWMAN
SATOSHI YANAI
Supervising Deputy Attorneys General
CHRISTINE CHUANG
ANTHONY HAKL
CHEROKEE DM MELTON

/s/ Anthony Hakl

13
14 */s/ Erez Reuveni*

Anthony Hakl
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for the State of California

15
16 _____
Erez Reuveni
Assistant Director
Attorneys for the United States
17 of America

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered the stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The State of California’s time to respond to the complaint is extended an additional nine (9) days, up to and including May 4, 2018;
2. If the parties cannot agree on a schedule for any motions to dismiss, including in the event any motions to intervene are filed, they shall file a joint status report on or before April 27, 2018, which summarizes any disagreement and their respective positions; addresses the status of any intervention motions and their impact, if any, on the current pleading deadlines; and requests the Court to set an appropriate schedule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Judge John A. Mendez
United States District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: The United States of America v. No. **2:18-cv-00490-JAM-KJN**
The State of California, et al

I hereby certify that on April 23, 2018, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO RESPOND TO THE UNITED STATES' COMPLAINT

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on April 23, 2018, at Sacramento, California.

Tursun Bier
Declarant

/s/ Tursun Bier
Signature