25

26

27

28

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

12

13

14

16

18

21

22

26

27

28

3

Plaintiff and Defendants, Davol Inc. and C. R. Bard, Inc., by and through their counsel of record propose the following stay of all proceedings in this case pursuant to a stipulation based on the following:

Plaintiff alleges personal injuries related to the use of the Perfix Plug, a polypropylene mesh medical device manufactured by Defendants for the treatment of hernias. Like Plaintiff, there are more than 80 plaintiffs with products liability cases related to the use of Defendants' hernia repair devices made, at least in part, of polypropylene in federal courts across the country.

Accordingly, on April 10, 2018, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, a group of more than 50 plaintiffs filed a Motion for § 1407 Coordination/Consolidation & Transfer of Related Actions ("MDL Motion") with the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML"). The MDL Motion seeks to transfer all federal products liability cases, both current and subsequentlyfiled, involving Defendants' hernia mesh medical devices to a single court for purposes of coordination and consolidation of pre-trial proceedings.

Should the JPML grant the MDL Motion, Plaintiff's case would fit squarely within the scope of the MDL being requested and would stand to be transferred to the MDL court imminently.

Accordingly, the parties agree and request a stay of all proceedings in this case pending the JPML's decision on the MDL Motion.

A stay of this nature would serve the interests of justice and judicial economy. As the majority of district courts have found in circumstances in which a pending matter would fall within the scope of a proposed MDL being considered by the JPML, good cause exists to stay all proceedings pending the JPML's decision.

Any pretrial management efforts put forth by this Court will be overtaken by the MDL court's pretrial orders, assuming the case is transferred. A stay would prevent any unnecessary and duplicative pre-trial proceedings, including discovery and motion practice. See, e.g., Miley v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., Case No. 0:16-cv-00066-PJS-KMM, 2016 WL 4257596, *1 (D. Minn.

¹ The MDL Motion and its supporting brief are attached hereto as Exhibits 1 and 2, respective.

July 8, 2016) ("The Court agrees that the conservation of judicial resources is best served by

NEED SMILL IN LEFT

A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

27

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 20, 2018

Hønorable John A. Mendez

United States District Court Judge