2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT ROBYN, No. 2:18-cv-0505 KJN P 12 Plaintiff. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 13 RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. 15 Defendant. 16 17 By an order filed March 20, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to file, within thirty days, a 18 19 completed in forma pauperis affidavit or pay the required filing fees, and was cautioned that 20 failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day 21 period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed the 22 required documents. Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff 23 24 was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current 25 address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of

1

26

27

28

the party is fully effective.

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: April 25, 2018

/roby0505.fpf UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE