1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:18-cv-0523-EFB P EDWIN MCMILLAN, 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS** 14 J. CAPLAND, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 18 19 § 636(b)(1). 20 On March 15, 2019, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 21 § 1915A. The court dismissed the complaint, explained the deficiencies therein and granted 22 plaintiff thirty days in which file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 4. The 23 order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result a recommendation that this action be 24 dismissed. The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, 25 or otherwise responded to the court's order. 26 A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for 27 imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the 28 inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or 1

1 2 3 4 5 6 regarding notice of change of address affirmed). 7 8 United States District Judge to this case. 9 10 R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 20 Dated: April 30, 2019. 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice. Fed. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE