1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	A DATE OF A TOTAL OF A	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DONALD LEE CROGHAN,	No. 2:18-cv-0529 CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND
14	K. BRANION, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed July 13, 2018, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to	
18	file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has	
19	not filed an amended complaint.	
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district	
21	court judge to this case; and	
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after	
26	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with	
27	the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and	
28	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	

1	waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.	
2	1991).	
3	Dated: August 27, 2018 Carop U. Delany	
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8	1 area 0520 fto	
9	crog0529.fta	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		